

Scoring Rubric - Partnership payments for Redefining Mentoring Project

Applicant/Project:

Assessor:

Total Score: /20

Criteria	What to Look For	Score (0–5)	Assessor Comments
1. Relevance & Impact	- Clear connection to mentoring and unique communication scenarios - Purpose is strong and meaningful - Likely to have positive community or individual impact		
2. Inclusion & Co-Design	- Involves people with communication disability in meaningful ways - Project is inclusive and communication-accessible - Thoughtful use of co-design principles		
3. Feasibility & Value	- Project can be completed within 3 months - Budget is clear and appropriate - Proposal offers good value for the funding requested		
4. Final Product Clarity	- Clear description of the final output - Format is practical and shareable (e.g. video, tool, story, template) - Likely to be useful in the toolkit		

Scoring Guide		
5	Excellent	Fully meets or exceeds expectations
4	Good	Meets most expectations clearly
3	Satisfactory	Meets minimum expectations
2	Limited	Some relevant content, but lacks clarity or detail
1	Poor	Lacks clarity, relevance, or feasibility
0	Not Addressed	Criterion not addressed at all
	Feedback to make this project viable for Round 2 - Suggested changes	

16–20 Strong candidate – high priority for funding

12–15 Medium – consider if funding allows

Below 12 Low – may not meet project objectives